UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ANDREW HILFORD,) 3:12-cv-00329-RCJ-WGC	
Plaintiff,) MINUTE ORDER) September 3, 2013	
VS.)	
CHRISTOPHER ROWLEY, et. al.		
Defendants.	,)	
	_)	
PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIA	AM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
DEPUTY CLERK: <u>KATIE OGDEN</u>	REPORTER: NONE APPEARING	
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING		
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING		

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Before the court is Defendant Gittere's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Answer. (Doc. # 48.)

Defendant Gittere filed his answer denying the material allegations of Plaintiff's complaint and asserting various affirmative defenses. (Doc. # 43.) Thereafter, Plaintiff filed a response to the answer. (Doc. # 46.) Defendant Gittere now moves to strike Plaintiff's response, stating that it is not provided for in the rules, and was not filed with leave of court. (Doc. # 48.)

Defendant Gittere is correct that Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7(a) contemplates the following pleadings: complaint; answer to a complaint, counterclass or cross-claim; third-party complaint; answer to a third-party complaint; and "*if the court orders one*, a reply to an answer." Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(a) (emphasis added).

Here, defendant Gittere filed his answer to Plaintiff's complaint. The court did not order the filing of a reply to his answer.

MINUTES OF THE COURT

3:12-cv-00329-MMD-WGC Date: September 3, 2013

Page 2

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(f) permits the court to strike "redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter" from a pleading on its own or on the motion of another party. The court hereby exercises its discretion as such. Therefore, defendant Gittere's motion to strike (Doc. # 48) is **GRANTED** and Plaintiff's response (Doc. # 46) is hereby **STRICKEN**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

By:_	/s/
	Deputy Clerk